Maryland Historical Trust

Maryland Inventory of Historic Prop	perties number: 4A-505				
Name CROWSE MU	Ro. Over TyckA HOE CIEL				
	ventoried by the Maryland State Highway Administration as part of the				
•	A provided the Trust with eligibility determinations in February 2001.				
The Trust accepted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 3, 2001. The bridge received the following determination of eligibility.					
deversion of engineery.					
	MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST				
	MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST Eligibility Not RecommendedX				
Eligibility Recommended					
Eligibility Recommended	Eligibility Not RecommendedX				
Eligibility RecommendedCriteria:ABC	Eligibility Not RecommendedX				
Eligibility RecommendedCriteria:ABC	Eligibility Not RecommendedX				

Date:__3 April 2001

Reviewer, NR Program:__Peter E. Kurtze

MARYLAND INVENTORY OF HISTORIC BRIDGES HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION/MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

MHT No. QA-505

SHA Bridge No. Q 41 Bridge name Crouse Mill Road over Tuckahoe Creek
LOCATION: Street/Road name and number [facility carried]
City/town Queen Anne Vicinity X
County Queen Anne's
This bridge projects over: Road Railway Water X Land
Ownership: State County X Municipal Other
HISTORIC STATUS: Is the bridge located within a designated historic district? Yes NoX
Name of district
BRIDGE TYPE: Timber BridgeX_: Beam Bridge _X Truss -Covered Trestle Timber-And-Concrete
Stone Arch Bridge
Metal Truss Bridge
Movable Bridge: Swing Bascule Single Leaf Bascule Multiple Leaf Vertical Lift Retractile Pontoon
Metal Girder : Rolled Girder Concrete Encased Plate Girder : Plate Girder Concrete Encased : Plate Girder Concrete : Plate Girder Concrete : Plate Girder Concrete : Plate Girder Concrete : Plate Girder : Plate Girder : Pla
Metal Suspension
Metal Arch
Metal Cantilever
Concrete: Concrete Arch Concrete Slab Concrete Beam Rigid Frame Other Type Name

QA-505

DESCRIPTION: Setting: Urban	Small town	Rural	X
Describe Setting:			
Bridge No. Q 41 carries Crown Mill Road runs east-west an Queen Anne vicinity, within north and wooded wetlands	nd Tuckahoe Creek flows the Tuckahoe State Park,	north-south. The b	oridge is located in the
Describe Superstructure and	l Substructure:		
Bridge No. Q 41 is a 14-spar was reconstructed in 1969. width of 4.97 meters (16.3 fee The superstructure consists of rails. The beams are 10.16 conspaced .5 meters (1.6 feet) approaches have no traffic be thirteen timber pile bents. So bents have timber pile caps. (5 tons), and has a sufficience	The structure is 32.3 meters; there are no sidewalks. of eleven timber beams when timeters (4 inches) wide apart. The structure hoarriers. The substructure Seven of the pile bents have There are four straight with	ers (106 feet) long at The out-to-out width ich support a timber by 35.5 centimeters (las single strand rail consists of two timbers are	nd has a clear roadway h is 5.8 meters (19 feet). plank deck and timber (14 inches) high and are lings and the roadway ber pile abutments and d six of the timber pile
According to the 1996 inspector broken planks in the deck, set the pile bents.			
Discuss Major Alterations:			
The timber pile bents with stepile caps in 1969. In addition			
HISTORY:			
WHEN was the bridge built: This date is: Actual Source of date: Plaque Other (specify):	X Es	stimated County bridge file	s/inspection form <u>X</u>
WHY was the bridge built?			
The bridge was constructed i increased load capacity.	n response to the need for	more efficient trans	sportation network and
WHO was the designer?			
Unknown			
WHO was the builder?			<i>y</i>

Unknown

QA 505

WHY was the bridge altered?

The bridge was altered to ensure its structural integrity. In 1969, the timber pile bents were reconstructed with steel caps placed between the existing pile bents. In addition, the deck and railings were replaced.

Was this bridge built as part of an organized bridge-building campaign?

There is no evidence that the bridge was built as part of an organized bridge building campaign.

SURVEYOR/HISTORIAN ANALYSIS:

This bridge may have Nati	onal Register significa	ance for its association with:
A - Events	B- Person	
C- Engineering/arcl	hitectural character _	

The bridge does not have National Register significance.

Was the bridge constructed in response to significant events in Maryland or local history?

The earliest bridges built in North America were timber bridges. According to one account, European settlers at first utilized the bridges constructed by the Native American populations, which consisted of tied timbers laid across up-turned forked tree trunks (American Association of State Highway Officials 1953: 19). This design was adopted by the settlers, who then modified the design by hewing the upper portions of the timbers to provide a flat surface and by adding a handrail to one side (American Society of Civil Engineers 1976: 143). Where crossings exceeded the length of the available timber, short spans were joined and supported on wood piles or on timber cribs filled with earth or stone. In fact, the earliest recorded bridge built by European settlers in America was most likely this type of design. Constructed in 1611 on James Towne Island, Virginia, this timber bridge extended approximately 200 feet into the water and provided docking facilities in the 12 foot deep channel (American Association of State Highway Officials 1953: 19).

The railroads had a significant impact on the construction as well as the on-going popularity of the timber bridge. During the 1830s, the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad employed engineers such as Theodore Burr and Lewis Wernwag to construct bridges over its major crossings. Burr, Town and Long trusses were all extensively employed and became standard for railroad-bridge construction (Waddell 1916: 21).

Another type, the timber trestle bridge, also was used extensively by the railroads. The first timber trestle was built by the Philadelphia and Reading Railroad in 1840 (Waddell 1916: 22). With timber in abundant supply, the railroads used this functional design as an inexpensive and practical bridge design for its lines, particularly in remote locations of the country.

The combination of timber with other materials began with the invention of the Howe truss in 1840. William Howe patented a truss which utilized iron verticals as tension members and wood diagonals as compression members. The Howe truss became a standard of railroad bridge design. By the 1860s, the problem of wood deterioration was under better control with the invention of pressure creosote treatments, which extended the life of the wood members. Timber pile bent structures remained popular, particularly in tidal areas, into the twentieth century. These were most often used in combination with concrete.

QA-505

The popularity of the timber bridge continued into the 1880s even with the ascension of iron and steel as bridge materials. Due to the availability of lumber in the state, the timber bridge was a functionally popular bridge type in Maryland from the European settlement era to the twentieth century. The numerous small streams that cross the state as well as the larger rivers such as the Susquehanna were often spanned by timber bridges during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries.

Despite the rise of use of metal and concrete in bridge building, timber bridges continued to be constructed in Maryland in the twentieth century. Many of these later timber bridges were combination structures that have been favored in the flat terrain of the Tidewater Region.

When the bridge was built and/or given a major alteration, did it have a significant impact on the growth and development of the area?

There is no evidence that the construction of this bridge had a significant impact on the growth and development of this area.

Is the bridge located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation and would the bridge add to or detract from the historic/visual character of the potential district?

The bridge is located in an area which does not appear to be eligible for historic designation.

Is the bridge a significant example of its type?

A significant example of a timber beam bridge should possess character-defining elements of its type, and be readily recognizable as an historic structure from the perspective of the traveler. The integrity of distinctive features visible from the roadway approach, including parapet walls or railings, is important in structures which are common examples of their type. In addition, the structure must be in excellent condition. This bridge, which has alterations to its piers, abutments and beams and which is lacking such original features as the deck and railings, is an undistinguished example of a timber beam bridge.

Does the bridge retain integrity of important elements described in Context Addendum?

This bridge was reconstructed in 1969, resulting the alteration of such character-defining elements as the piers, abutments, deck and railing.

Is the bridge a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer?

This bridge is not a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer.

Should the bridge be given further study before an evaluation of its significance is made?

No further study of this bridge is required to evaluate its significance.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:		
County inspection/bridge files	X	SHA inspection/bridge files
Other (list):		

QA-505

Ketchum, Milo S.

- 1908 The Design of Highway Bridges and the Calculation of Stresses in Bridge Trusses. The Engineering News Publishing Co., New York.
- 1920 The Design of Highway Bridges of Steel, Timber and Concrete. Second edition. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

Lay, Maxwell Gordon

1992 Ways of the World: A History of the World's Roads and of the Vehicles That Used Them. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, New Jersey.

Luten, Daniel B.

1912 Concrete Bridges. American Concrete Institute Proceedings 8:631-640.

1917 Reinforced Concrete Bridges. National Bridge Company, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Maryland State Roads Commission

1930a Report of the State Roads Commission for the Years 1927, 1928, 1929 and 1930. State of Maryland, State Roads Commission, Baltimore.

1930b Standard Plans. State of Maryland, State Roads Commission, Baltimore.

Taylor, Frederick W., Sanford E. Thompson, and Edward Smulski

1939 Reinforced-Concrete Bridges with Formulas Applicable to Structural Steel and Concrete. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Tyrrell, H. Grattan

1909 Concrete Bridges and Culverts for Both Railroads and Highways. The Myron C. Clark Publishing Company, Chicago and New York.

SURVEYOR:

Date bridge recorded February 1998


Name of surveyor Caroline Hall/Marris German

Organization/Address P.A.C. Spero & Co., 40 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21204/

Wallace Montgomery & Associates, 110 West Road, Towson, MD 21204

Phone number(410) 296-1635

FAX number (410) 296-1670





104-505 2 Crouse Mill Rd over Tuckahoe Creek 3 Queen Anni's Co, MD 4 3/98 5 Marris German, WMA 6 MD SHPO 7 Looking upstream dam for Tuckaha late

81055



1 QA 505 2 Crouse Mill Rd. over Tuckahoe Creek 3 - Queen Annes Co MD 4 3/98 5 Mams German WMA 6 MD SHPO

7 Elivation looking light ream 8 2 05 5



1 OH 505 2 Crouse Mill Road over Tuckahor Coek 3 Queen Annes County, MD 4 3/98 5 Maris German, with 6 MD SHPO I Elevation looking downstream 8 3015



1 OA -505 2 Crouse Mill Rd. ever Tuckahoe Creek 3 Queen Anne's Co., MP 43/98 5 Marris German, WMA 6 MD SHPO 7 Locking east

8 4 0 5



1 QA - 505 I crouse Mill Rd Ner Tickahoe Cueck. 3 Queen Anne's Co. MD 4 3/98 5 Marris German, WMA 6 MD SHPO 7 Looking west 8 5 of 5